ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Molecular Plant Pathology

WILEY

A novel effector CfEC92 of *Colletotrichum fructicola* contributes to glomerella leaf spot virulence by suppressing plant defences at the early infection phase

Shengping Shang 1 | Bo Wang¹ | Song Zhang¹ | Guangli Liu¹ | Xiaofei Liang¹ | Rong Zhang¹ | Mark L. Gleason² | Guangyu Sun 1

¹State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology in Arid Areas and College of Plant Protection, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, China

²Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa State, USA

Correspondence

Rong Zhang and Guangyu Sun, State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology in Arid Areas and College of Plant Protection, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi Province, China. Email: rongzh@nwsuaf.edu.cn; sgy@nwsuaf. edu.cn

Funding information

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31772113, 31972220) and China Agriculture Research System (CARS-27).

Abstract

The ascomycete fungus Colletotrichum fructicola causes diseases on a broad range of plant species. On susceptible cultivars of apple, it induces severe early defoliation and fruit spots, named glomerella leaf spot (GLS), but the mechanisms of pathogenicity have remained elusive. Phytopathogens exhibit small secreted effectors to advance host infection by manipulating host immune reactions. We report the identification and characterization of CfEC92, an effector required for C. fructicola virulence. CfEC92 is a Colletotrichum-specific small secreted protein that suppresses BAX-triggered cell death in Nicotiana benthamiana. Accumulation of the gene transcript was barely detectable in conidia or vegetative hyphae, but was highly up-regulated in appressoria formed during early apple leaf infection. Gene deletion mutants were not affected in vegetative growth, appressorium formation, or appressoriummediated cellophane penetration. However, the mutants were significantly reduced in virulence toward apple leaves and fruits. Microscopic examination indicated that infection by the deletion mutants elicited elevated deposition of papillae at the penetration sites, and formation of infection vesicles and primary hyphae was retarded. Signal peptide activity, subcellular localization, and cell death-suppressive activity (without signal peptide) assays suggest that CfEC92 could be secreted and perform virulence functions inside plant cells. RNA sequencing and quantitative reverse transcription PCR results confirmed that the deletion mutants triggered elevated host defence reactions. Our results strongly support the interpretation that CfEC92 contributes to C. fructicola virulence as a plant immunity suppressor at the early infection phase.

KEYWORDS

apple, Colletotrichum, effector, host immune response, pathogenicity

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Molecular Plant Pathology published by British Society for Plant Pathology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd

1 | INTRODUCTION

In natural environments, plants are attacked by numerous pathogens and evolve sophisticated immunity surveillance systems to protect themselves (Jones and Takemoto, 2004; Chisholm et al., 2006). A "zigzag" model summarizes the innate immunity in plant-pathogen interactions (Jones and Dangl, 2006). The pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) of plant cell surface receptors can detect pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) in the first line of defence, called PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI). In turn, successful pathogens interfere with PTI by means of secreting effectors into host cells, resulting in effector-triggered susceptibility (ETS). After that, plants activate the second layer of immune system through resistance (R) proteins that recognize pathogen effectors directly or indirectly, known as effector-triggered immunity (ETI). However, successful pathogens can evolve new effectors that translocate into host cells to interfere with the plant immune system, facilitating pathogen colonization (Dou and Zhou, 2012; Dangl et al., 2013; Wang and Wang, 2018).

Colletotrichum is a large genus of ascomycete plant pathogens infecting diverse field crops, vegetables, and fruits worldwide (Bailey and Jeger, 1992; Prusky *et al.*, 2000). Most *Colletotrichum* species have a hemibiotrophic lifestyle. They develop penetration pegs from specialized melanized appressoria to invade living host cells, and then produce bulbous biotrophic infection vesicles and primary hyphae, before switching to a necrotrophic stage featuring secondary hyphae formation (Perfect *et al.*, 1999). Generally, phytopathogens deploy effectors to manipulate the host physiological metabolism and to suppress immune responses in order to establish biotrophy at an early phase of infection (Bozkurt *et al.*, 2012; Rafiqi *et al.*, 2012). Later, toxins and lytic enzymes are produced to kill and degrade host tissues in the necrotrophy mode (Gan *et al.*, 2012; O'Connell *et al.*, 2012).

Using genome sequencing and bioinformatics, a large number of effector candidate genes have been predicted genome-wide in hemibiotrophic Colletotrichum species, including C. gloeosporiodes, C. graminicola, C. higginsianum, and C. orbiculare (Crouch et al., 2014). It has been suggested that each Colletotrichum species has evolved a unique set of effector genes to adapt to its own hosts (Crouch et al., 2014). Furthermore, transcriptomic analysis of the infection process in C. higginsianum and C. orbiculare has indicated dynamic effector gene expression, supporting infection phase-specific virulence roles of effector genes (Gan et al., 2012; Kleemann et al., 2012; O'Connell et al., 2012). However, functional characterization of identified effector candidate genes has been lagging in Colletotrichum. So far, only a few effectors have been demonstrated to be important for virulence (Bhadauria et al., 2012; Sanz-Martin et al., 2016), and in even fewer cases have the functional mechanisms been determined (Irieda et al., 2014; Azmi et al., 2018).

Glomerella leaf spot (GLS) is a disease of apple trees (*Malus domestica*) in Brazil, China, Japan, and the USA (Leite *et al.*, 1988; González and Sutton, 1999; Wang *et al.*, 2012; Yokosawa *et al.*, 2017). Under favourable conditions, GLS can result in more than 90% defoliation in susceptible cultivars such as Gala and Golden

Molecular Plant Pathology 🚳

Delicious, seriously weakening the trees and reducing yield; fruits with spots are reduced in quality (Wang *et al.*, 2015b). In China, the disease occurs commonly from mid-July to early August, a period of high temperature and humidity. In addition, the latent period is as short as 2 days and the disease spreads rapidly, especially after prolonged periods of rain. Therefore, control of GLS is challenging; to date, there are no consistently effective management approaches (Leite *et al.*, 1988; Wang *et al.*, 2014).

Aetiological studies have identified *C. aenigma*, *C. alienum*, *C. fiorinae*, *C. fructicola*, *C. gloeosporioides*, *C. karstii*, *C. nymphaeae*, *C. siamense*, and *C. tropicale* as the GLS causal agents (Velho *et al.*, 2014, 2019; Wallhead *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2015a; Hoge, 2017), with *C. fructicola* the predominant one (Velho *et al.*, 2019). This species has a broad host range and its genome encodes the largest repertoire of candidate virulence genes, (e.g., small secreted proteins, cytochrome P450s, and plant cell wall-degrading enzymes) among the GLS pathogens (Crouch *et al.*, 2014; Liang *et al.*, 2018). Transcriptomic comparison among infected apple leaves, conidia, in vitro appressoria, and infectious hyphae on cellophane has identified many candidate virulence genes showing in planta specific expression (Liang *et al.*, 2018). However, the functional importance of these candidate virulence genes has remained largely undetermined.

Based on the results of a transient expression assay in *Nicotiana*, we identified a novel effector, CfEC92, that inhibited BAX-induced programmed cell death (PCD) and was highly expressed at the early infection phase. We also demonstrated that *CfEC92* gene deletion did not affect fungal vegetative growth or development, but strongly reduced virulence. This virulence reduction was related to elevated host defence reactions at the early infection phase and reduced efficiency in differentiation of infection vesicles and primary hyphae. Our results demonstrate that the CfEC92 is important for GLS virulence and provide insights regarding the molecular interactions between *C. fructicola* and its apple host.

2 | RESULTS

2.1 | CfEC92 is a *Colletotrichum* genus-specific effector candidate with cell death-suppressive activity

Among the effector candidates previously identified in *C. fructicola* (Liang *et al.*, 2018), *CfEC92* (1,104|04,129) showed in planta specific expression compared with conidia, in vitro appressoria, and infectious hyphae on cellophane. The gene encodes a putative protein with 85 amino acid residues and has a high cysteine content (9.41%). The protein was predicted to contain an N-terminal signal peptide (SP) and showed 73.81% amino acid identity with ChEC34, a candidate effector gene of *C. higginsianum* whose expression is up-regulated in appressoria (O'Connell *et al.*, 2012). A BlastP search against the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) non-redundant (nr) database identified CfEC92 homologs in a range of additional *Colletotrichum* species (Figure 1), with amino





(b)

w)	10	20	30	40	50
Colletotrichum chlorophyti OLN85674.1	MRTTTFAAILASL	AMAVTAVPQ -	GFNCKSEGFCT	YSDPNCNFCL	IDPASKLKVC
Colletotrichum fioriniae EXF74193.1	MRATTFTAVFASL	LT <mark>AVAAVPQ</mark>	GFSCKSEGFCT	YSDPNCNFCL	N I DAAAK I KVC
Colletotrichum fructicola CfEC92	MRAATFTAAAASL	IMAVAAVPQ-	GFNCKSEGFCT	YSDPNCNFCL	VIDPPAKLKVC
Colletotrichum fructicola Nara gc5 ELA26176.1	MRAAT FTAAAASL	I MAGAAVPQ -	GFNCKSEGFCT	YSDPNCNFCL	NIDPPAKLK <mark>VC</mark>
Colletotrichum gloeosporioides Cg14 EQB48575.1	MRAAT FTAAAAS L	I MAVAAVPQ -	GFNCKSEGFCT	YSDPNCNFCL	VIDPPAKLKVC
Colletotrichum higginsianum TIC93592.1	MRTATVTAAFASL	LMTVA <mark>A</mark> APQ ·	GFNCQSHGFCT	YSDPNCNFCL	N I AGSAKLD I C
Colletotrichum incanum KZL65560.1	MRVAT ITA I FAS F	LMAAEAAPQ -	GFNCKSEGFCT	YSDPNCNFCL	NITPASKLSVC
Colletotrichum nymphaeae_KXH31637.1	MRATTFTTVFASL	LT <mark>AVAAVPQ</mark> -	GFSCKSEGFCT	YSDPNCNFCL	VIDAAAK IKVC
Colletotrichum orbiculare TDZ26204.1	MQFQTAVVALFSM	VA <mark>AV</mark> SAAPQT	GFNCQAQGFCT	TSDPNCNFCL	NIAKSSY <mark>l</mark> svc
Colletotrichum salicis KXH65652.1	MRATTFTAVFASL	LT <mark>AAAAVPQ</mark> ·	GFSCKSEGFCT	YSDHNCNFCL	VIDAAAKIKVC
Colletotrichum spinosum TDZ27475.1	MQFQTAVVALFSM	VA <mark>AV</mark> SAAPQT	T <mark>GFNC</mark> QAQ <mark>GFCT</mark>	TSDPNCNFCL	NI AKSSYLS <mark>VC</mark>
Colletotrichum tofieldiae KZL64742.1	MRVAT ITAVFASL	LMVAE <mark>AVPQ</mark> ·	GFNCKSEGFCT	YSDPNCNFCL	NI APAAKLKVC
Colletotrichum trifolii TDZ40842.1	MQFQTAVVALFSM	VA <mark>AV</mark> SAAPQT	GFNCQAQGFCT	TSDPNCNFCL	NIAKSAYLSVC
	60	70	80	90	100 110
Colletotrichum chlorophyti OLN85674.1	60 QAIDPTYRSAPGA	70	80	90	100 110 - ADFQCVVTCCH
Colletotrichum chlorophyti OLN85674.1 Colletotrichum fioriniae EXF74193.1	60 QAIDPTYRSAPGA QAIDPTYKSAPGD	70 ITRGPSG ITRGPSG	80 	90 	100 110 - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH
Colletotrichum chlorophyti OLN85674.1 Colletotrichum fioriniae EXF74193.1 Colletotrichum fructicola CfEC92	60 QAIDPTYRSAPGA QAIDPTYKSAPGD QAIDPTYKSAPGD	70 ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG	80	90	100 110 - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH
Colletotrichum chlorophyti OLN85674.1 Colletotrichum fioriniae EXF74193.1 Colletotrichum fructicola CfEC92 Colletotrichum fructicola Nara gc5 ELA26176.1	60 QAIDPTYRSAPGA QAIDPTYKSAPGD QAIDPTYKSAPGD QAIDPTYKSAPGD	70 ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG	80	90	100 110 - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH
Colletotrichum chlorophyti OLN85674.1 Colletotrichum fioriniae EXF74193.1 Colletotrichum fructicola CfEC92 Colletotrichum fructicola Nara gc5 ELA26176.1 Colletotrichum gloeosporioides Cg14 EQB48575.1	60 QAIDPTYRSAPGA QAIDPTYKSAPGD QAIDPTYKSAPGD QAIDPTYKSAPGD QAIDPTYKSAPGD	70 ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG	80 	90	100 - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH
Colletotrichum chlorophyti OLN85674.1 Colletotrichum fioriniae EXF74193.1 Colletotrichum fructicola CfEC92 Colletotrichum fructicola Nara gc5 ELA26176.1 Colletotrichum gloeosporioides Cg14 EQB48575.1 Colletotrichum higginsianum TIC93592.1	60 QA I DPTYRSAPGA QA I DPTYKSAPGD QA I DPTYKSAPGD QA I DPTYKSAPGD QA I DPTYKSAPGD HQFNPAYTSAPGD	70 ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ISRGPSG	80 	90	100 110 - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCMLTCCL
Colletotrichum chlorophyti OLN85674.1 Colletotrichum fioriniae EXF74193.1 Colletotrichum fructicola CfEC92 Colletotrichum fructicola Nara gc5 ELA26176.1 Colletotrichum gloeosporioides Cg14 EQB48575.1 Colletotrichum higginsianum TIC93592.1 Colletotrichum incanum KZL65560.1	60 QA I DPTYRSAPGA QA I DPTYKSAPGD QA I DPTYKSAPGD QA I DPTYKSAPGD QA I DPTYKSAPGD QA I DPTYKTAPGD	70 ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ISRGPSG VTRGPSG	80 	90	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Colletotrichum chlorophyti OLN85674.1 Colletotrichum fioriniae EXF74193.1 Colletotrichum fructicola CfEC92 Colletotrichum fructicola Nara gc5 ELA26176.1 Colletotrichum gloeosporioides Cg14 EQB48575.1 Colletotrichum higginsianum TIC93592.1 Colletotrichum incanum KZL65560.1 Colletotrichum nymphaeae_KXH31637.1	60 QA I DPTYRSAPGA QA I DPTYKSAPGD QA I DPTYKSAPGD QA I DPTYKSAPGD QA I DPTYKSAPGD QA I DPTYKTAPGD QA I DPTYKSAPGD	70 ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ISRGPSG VTRGPSG ITRGPSG	80 	90	100 - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH
Colletotrichum chlorophyti OLN85674.1 Colletotrichum fioriniae EXF74193.1 Colletotrichum fructicola CfEC92 Colletotrichum fructicola Nara gc5 ELA26176.1 Colletotrichum gloeosporioides Cg14 EQB48575.1 Colletotrichum incanum KZL65560.1 Colletotrichum incanum KZL65560.1 Colletotrichum nymphaeae_KXH31637.1 Colletotrichum orbiculare TDZ26204.1	60 QA I DPT YRSAPGA QA I DPT YKSAPGD QA I DPT YKSTVGD	70 ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ISRGPSG VTRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ISSAPAG	80	90	100 ADFQCVVTCCH ADFQCVVTCCH ADFQCVVTCCH ADFQCVVTCCH ADFQCVVTCCH ADFQCVVTCCH ADFQCVVTCCL ADFQCVVTCCH ADFQCVVTCCH SDFQCIITCCH
Colletotrichum chlorophyti OLN85674.1 Colletotrichum fioriniae EXF74193.1 Colletotrichum fructicola CfEC92 Colletotrichum fructicola Nara gc5 ELA26176.1 Colletotrichum gloeosporioides Cg14 EQB48575.1 Colletotrichum nigginsianum TIC93592.1 Colletotrichum nicanum KZL65560.1 Colletotrichum nymphaeae_KXH31637.1 Colletotrichum nymphaeae_KXH31637.1 Colletotrichum salicis KXH65652.1	60 QA I DPT YKSAPGA QA I DPT YKSAPGD QA I DPT YKSAPGD	70 ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ISRGPSG VTRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ISSAPAG ITRGPSGVSI	80 DPHLEQSSFAVI	90 GTRADSTSTS	100 110 - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - SDFQC I ITCCH QADFQCVVTCCH
Colletotrichum chlorophyti OLN85674.1 Colletotrichum fioriniae EXF74193.1 Colletotrichum fructicola CfEC92 Colletotrichum fructicola Nara gc5 ELA26176.1 Colletotrichum gloeosporioides Cg14 EQB48575.1 Colletotrichum higginsianum TIC93592.1 Colletotrichum nymphaeae_KXH31637.1 Colletotrichum nymphaeae_KXH31637.1 Colletotrichum orbiculare TDZ26204.1 Colletotrichum salicis KXH65652.1 Colletotrichum spinosum TDZ27475.1	60 QA I DPT YKSAPGA QA I DPT YKSAPGD QA I DPT YKST VGD	70 ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ISRGPSG ISRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG VSI VSRGPAG	80 DPHLEQSSFAV I	90 GTRADSTSTS	100 110 - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - SDFQC I ITCCH - SDFQC I ITCCH
Colletotrichum chlorophyti OLN85674.1 Colletotrichum fioriniae EXF74193.1 Colletotrichum fructicola CfEC92 Colletotrichum fructicola Nara gc5 ELA26176.1 Colletotrichum gloeosporioides Cg14 EQB48575.1 Colletotrichum higginsianum TIC93592.1 Colletotrichum incanum KZL65560.1 Colletotrichum nymphaeae_KXH31637.1 Colletotrichum orbiculare TDZ26204.1 Colletotrichum salicis KXH65652.1 Colletotrichum spinosum TDZ27475.1 Colletotrichum spinosum TDZ27475.1 Colletotrichum tofieldiae KZL64742.1	60 QA I DPTYKSAPGD QA I DPTYKSTVGD QA I DPTYKSTVGD QA I DPTYKSAPGD	70 ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ISRGPSG ISRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSG ITRGPSGVSC VSRGPAG ITRGPSG	80 DPHLEQSSFAV I	90 GTRADSTSTS	100 - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - ADFQCVVTCCH - SDFQC I ITCCH QADFQCVVTCCH - SDFQC I ITCCH - SDFQC I I ICCH - SDFQC I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I

FIGURE 1 Sequence similarities between CfEC92 proteins among *Collectorichum* species. (a) Phylogenetic analysis of CfEC92 and its homolog proteins in *Collectorichum*. The full-length protein sequences were analysed by MEGA 5 using unrooted neighbour-joining bootstrap (1,000 replicates). The black box indicates CfEC92. The scale bar corresponds to a genetic distance of 0.05. (b) Multiple sequence alignment of CfEC92 and its homolog proteins. Full-lengths of protein sequences were aligned using ClustalW and the alignment was edited using JalView. Blue shading intensity reflects the level of amino acid identity at each position

acid identity ranging from 60.5% to 100%. However, no CfEC92 homologs were found in genera other than *Colletotrichum* (E-value cut-off = 1×10^{-5}). We determined that CfEC92 is a lineage-specific effector candidate that is conserved across the *Colletotrichum* genus.

Transient overexpression of an effector candidate (EC) in *Nicotiana benthamiana* is a test method commonly used to investigate EC functions (Wang *et al.*, 2011). We have tested whether CfEC92 could suppress BAX-induced cell death by co-injecting *N. benthamiana* leaves with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*

overexpressing *CfEC92* and *A. tumefaciens* overexpressing *BAX*. As shown in Figure 2a, *BAX* gene expression strongly induced leaf necrosis at 7 days post-inoculation. However, this cell death induction was totally suppressed by CfEC92 co-infiltration. The same results were obtained in multiple independent infiltration assays. Western blot assays showed that co-infiltration did not interfere with *BAX* gene expression (Figure 2b,c). These results strongly suggest that CfEC92 is a *Colletotrichum* genus-specific effector with cell death-suppressive activity.



FIGURE 2 Transient expression of *CfEC92* in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. (a) CfEC92 with or without signal peptide (sp) suppressed BAX-induced cell death. Leaves were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* carrying the pGR107-GFP vector inserted the *BAX* gene or the *CfEC92* gene with or without the signal peptide. Leaves were inoculated with empty pGR107-GFP vector as a control. Red circles indicate the infiltrated region. Photographs were taken at 7 days after infiltration. The experiments were repeated nine times. (b) Western blot analysis of agroinfiltrated leaves expressing *CfEC92* with a green fluorescent protein (GFP) tag. The total proteins of infiltrating pGR107-GFP vector were used as a control. (c) Western blot analysis of agroinfiltrated leaves expressing *BAX*

2.2 | CfEC92 transcript accumulation is highly upregulated at the early infection phase

Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed to compare the relative expression pattern of *CfEC92* among conidia, vegetative hyphae, and appressoria on apple leaves at different time points after inoculation. The transcript accumulation of *CfEC92* was barely detected in conidia, vegetative hyphae, and appressoria induced on cellophane (Figure 3). However, on inoculated apple leaves, *CfEC92* gene transcripts were induced significantly and reached a peak at 24 hr post-inoculation (hpi) by around 600-fold up-regulation relative to conidia (Figure 3). During apple leaf infection, 24 hpi corresponded to appressorium formation and appressorium-mediated penetration. We therefore concluded that *CfEC92* may play a role in virulence during appressorium formation at the early infection stage.

2.3 | CfEC92 is specifically required for full expression of *C. fructicola* virulence

Two *CfEC92*-deletion mutants ($\Delta CfEC92$ -27 and $\Delta CfEC92$ -52) were obtained by PCR screening of >20 hygromycin-resistant transformants (Figure S1b). Reverse transcription (RT)-PCR confirmed the lack of *CfEC92* transcript accumulation in these mutants during apple leaf infection (Figure S1c). The replacement of the *CfEC92*



FIGURE 3 Quantitative reverse transcription PCR analysis of *CfEC92* expression patterns in conidia (con), mycelia (myc), appressoria (app) on cellophane, and inoculated leaves (4, 8, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 hr post-inoculation) using β -tubulin gene for normalization. Results are presented as the average fold-change of three independent experiments compared to the conidia sample. Error bars represent *SE*. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (one-way analysis of variance, *p* < .05)

coding region by a hygromycin-resistance gene was confirmed by RT-PCR (Figure S1c) and Southern blotting (Figure S1d). Genetic complementation of the $\Delta CfEC92$ -27 mutant was achieved by polyethylene glycol (PEG)-mediated transformation and the obtained construct ($\Delta CfEC92$ -27-C) was also validated by RT-PCR (Figure S1c).

The knockout mutants showed no significant differences from the wild-type strain 1104-7 (WT) in vegetative growth on potato dextrose agar (PDA) (Figure 4a). In addition, the knockout mutants and WT were highly similar in appressorial development on cellophane (Figure 4b). By 36 hr after inoculation on cellophane, the frequency of infection hyphae from the knockout mutants was indistinguishable from WT and complementation strains (Figure 4b). These results demonstrated that *CfEC92* is not required for fungal vegetative growth, appressorium development, or appressorium-mediated cellophane penetration.

We further tested the virulence of the knockout mutants and WT on apple leaves and fruit. After spraying the adaxial surface of detached apple leaves with a conidial suspension and incubating for 72 hr, the number of leaf spot lesions of the two mutants was significantly lower than that of the WT (Figure 5a). The relative in planta fungal biomass during mutant infection, as measured by quantitative PCR, was only half of that during WT infection. We also compared the virulence of different strains on apple fruit following conidial spray inoculation. Again, the CfEC92 gene knockout mutant induced fewer necrotic lesions compared with the WT, and the disease index was reduced by approximately 50% at 5 days post-inoculation (Figure 5b). Reintroduction of the CfEC92 gene into the $\Delta CfEC92-27$ mutant strain resulted in restoration of virulence to the level of the WT in both leaf and fruit inoculation assays. These results strongly support the view that CfEC92 plays an infection-specific role and is an important virulence factor in C. fructicola.



FIGURE 4 The effects of *CfEC92* deletion on the colony morphology, growth rate, appressoria formation, and infection hyphae formation of *Collectotrichum fructicola*. (a) Colony morphology and growth rate of wild type (WT), $\Delta CfEC92$ -27, $\Delta CfEC92$ -52, and $\Delta CfEC92$ -27-C (complemented) strains on potato dextrose agar for 7 days at 25 °C. (b) Appressoria and infection hyphae formation rate of WT, $\Delta CfEC92$ -27, $\Delta CfEC92$ -27-C strains on cellophane for 12 and 36 hpi at 25 °C, respectively. Experiments were performed three times. Values are presented as the means ± *SE*. C, conidia; Ap, appressoria; IH, infection hyphae. The red asterisk indicates the infection site. Bar: 10 µm

2.4 | CfEC92 suppresses plant defence reactions at the early infection phase

To determine the infection stage at which CfEC92 functions, we compared the histological infection events of different strains on apple leaves. At 24 hpi, frequency of appressorium formation was similar among the knockout mutant, WT, and complementation strains (Figure 6a,b). At 48 hpi, only 56% of appressoria of the knockout mutants could penetrate host epidermal cells and form visible infection vesicles, compared with 82% for WT (Figure 6a,b). We further quantified formation of primary hyphae at 72 hpi; formation rates of the mutants were 28%, approximately 12% lower than WT and complementation strains (Figure 6a,b). The reduced efficiency of infection vesicle formation in the Δ *CfEC92* mutant was correlated with increased papillae deposition in host epidermal cells at the penetration sites (Figure 6c,d). Frequency of papillae formation by the $\Delta CfEC92$ mutant was approximately 20% higher than that of WT and $\Delta CfEC92$ -27-C strains (Figure 6c,d). Taken together, it appears that deletion of *CfEC92* causes elevated host defence reactions at the penetration site, which in turn inhibits appressorium-mediated penetration, infection vesicle development, and primary hyphae formation.

2.5 | CfEC92 exerts its virulence function inside plant cells

SignalP 4.0 predicted the N-terminal 19 amino acids of CfEC92 to be a putative SP. We validated the secretory function of this putative SP

941



FIGURE 5 The deletion of *CfEC92* reduced the pathogenicity of *Colletotrichum fructicola* on apple leaves and fruits. (a) $\Delta CfEC92$ mutant strains reduced virulence on apple leaves compared to the wild type (WT) and complemented mutant strains. The virulence was estimated by fungal biomass relative to foliar biomass using quantitative PCR analysis of the *C. fructicola* β -tubulin fragment relative to the apple *UBQ* fragment. The total DNA was extracted from apple leaves inoculated with WT, $\Delta CfEC92$ -27, $\Delta CfEC92$ -52, and $\Delta CfEC92$ -27-C (complemented) strains at 72 hr post-inoculation, respectively. (b) $\Delta CfEC92$ mutant strains showed reduced virulence on apple fruits compared to wild-type (WT) and complemented mutant strains. The virulence was evaluated by disease index. All experiments were performed for three replicates, each with nine leaves or fruits from three different apple trees. Values are presented as the means ± *SE*. The double asterisk indicates statistically significant differences (one-way analysis of variance, p < .01)

based on the yeast invertase secretion assay. When fused in-frame to the yeast invertase sequence in the vector pSUC2, the predicted SP of CfEC92 mediated the complementation of the yeast YTK12 mutant strain (invertase deficient) in utilizing raffinose or growing on YPRA agar (Figure 7a). This result demonstrates that the predicted SP of CfEC92 is functional.

When fungal effectors are secreted, they function either in the apoplastic spaces or inside host cells after translocation. Because *CfEC92* overexpression suppressed BAX-induced cell death, we tested whether this suppressive activity required a functional SP. In the tobacco transient expression assay, overexpression of CfEC92 lacking its N-terminal SP still fully suppressed BAX-induced cell death (Figure 2), supporting the interpretation that CfEC92 exerts its death-suppressive function inside plant cells. Subcellular localization

assay based on fusion with green fluorescent protein (GFP) also indicated that CfEC92 localizes in plant cells (Figure 7b).

2.6 | Plant defence genes suppressed by CfEC92

The increased deposition of papillae elicited by $\Delta CfEC92$ infection led us to perform an RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) experiment to compare the global expression patterns of host defence genes between WT and the $\Delta CfEC92$ mutant. Infected leaves were sampled at 24 hpi and subjected to RNA sequencing. A total of six libraries were constructed (three for WT and three for $\Delta CfEC92$) and sequenced. In total, 52.41 Gb reads were obtained and mapped to the apple cv. Golden Delicious genome. A hierarchical clustering



FIGURE 6 The deletion of *CfEC92* reduced the infection vesicle and primary hyphae formation, and increased papillary callose formation. (a) The different infection structures of *Colletotrichum fructicola* on apple leaves after 24, 48, and 60 hr post-inoculation (hpi), respectively. (b) Formation rates of appressoria, infection vesicles, and primary hyphae of wild type (WT), $\Delta CfEC92$ -27, $\Delta CfEC92$ -52, and $\Delta CfEC92$ -27-C (complemented) strains on apple leaves at 25 °C after 24, 48, and 60 hpi, respectively. (c) Papillary callose of *C. fructicola* on apple leaves at 36 hpi. (d) Papillary callose formation of WT, $\Delta CfEC92$ -27, $\Delta CfEC92$ -52, and $\Delta CfEC92$ -27-C strains on apple leaves at 36 hpi at 25 °C. Experiments were performed three times. Values are presented as means ± *SE*. The double asterisk indicates statistically significant differences (one-way analysis of variance, *p* < .01). C, conidia; Ap, appressorium; Ve, infection vesicle; Pa, papillary callose; PH, primary hyphae; IS, infection site. Bar: 10 µm

heatmap showed two distinct groups: one group containing the mutant strain and the other containing the WT strain, indicating that gene expression levels had low biological variability within the same treatment samples (Figure S2). We identified 310 differentially expressed genes that were expressed at least two-fold higher in mutant infection relative to WT infection (Table S2). The upregulated genes included a range of plant defence-related genes,

such as pathogenesis-related (PR) genes, MAPKKK3, WRKY29, and callose synthase. The up-regulation of these defence-related genes was further confirmed by RT-qPCR (Figure 8). Pathway enrichment analysis revealed that six pathways, including 35 genes, were significantly (t test, p < .05) enriched, including glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism (six genes), flavonoid biosynthesis (five genes), galactose metabolism (five genes), amino sugar and



FIGURE 7 Functional validation of the putative signal peptide (SP) and localization of CfEC92. (a) The putative SP sequence of CfEC92 was fused in-frame to the invertase gene in the pSUC2 vector and transformed into yeast strain YTK12. The YTK12 strains containing the empty pSUC2 and the first 25 amino acids of Mg87 protein from Magnaporthe oryzae were used as negative controls. The SP sequence of Avr1b from Phytophthora sojae was used as positive control. The transformed YTK12 strains that are able to secrete invertase were able to grow in both CMD-W and YPRAA media. (b) Agrobacterium tumefaciens carrying green fluorescent protein (GFP), CfEC92-GFP or CfEC92Δsp-GFP fusion proteins was infiltrated into leaves of 5-week-old, Nicotiana benthamiana. Bar = 10 µm

nucleotide sugar metabolism (seven genes), glycerolipid metabolism (five genes), and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling pathway (seven genes) (Figure S3).

Because flavonoids are important antifungal defence compounds (Falcone Ferreyra et al., 2012), the enrichment of the flavonoid

biosynthesis pathway implied the involvement of flavonoid compounds in defending against C. fructicola infection. The up-regulated expression of five genes encoding three kinds of enzymes associated with flavonoid production implied that flavonoid synthesis increased to a greater extent in apple leaves inoculated with the $\Delta CfEC92-27$ strain



FIGURE 8 CfEC92 could suppress host immune responses. The total RNA was extracted from leaves inoculated with Δ CfEC92-27 and wild-type (WT) strains at 24 hr post-inoculation and subjected to quantitative reverse transcription PCR analysis of 10 defence-related genes. The vertical axis represents the relative fold changes of transcripts compared to the WT-inoculated leaf sample and apple leaf *MdUBQ* was used as the reference gene. Error bars represent *SE*. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (one-way analysis of variance, *p* < .05)

than with the WT strain (Figure S4). In order to determine whether these flavonoids have a toxic role to *C. fructicola*, we measured the hyphal growth rate of *C. fructicola* after treatment by seven flavonoids on PDA. We found the tested flavonoids had different levels of antifungal properties against *C. fructicola* hyphae (Table 1 and Figure S5). The values of EC_{50} showed that 2',3,4,4',6'-pentahydroxychalcone caused the greatest growth inhibition and 7,4'-dihydroxyflavone was similar in inhibitory effect. These results suggests that CfEC92 is required to suppress the expression of a specific subset of defence-related genes during the infection of *C. fructicola* on apple leaves.

3 | DISCUSSION

In most *Colletotrichum*-host interaction systems, *Colletotrichum* species exhibit a hemibiotrophic lifestyle. The early biotrophic infection TABLE 1 Toxicity of seven flavonoids on mycelial growth of Colletotrichum fructicola

Flavonoid	Concentration (mg/L)	Regression equation of toxicity	Correlation coefficient (r ²)	EC ₅₀ (mg/L)
2',3,4,4',6'-Pentahydroxychalcone	1, 10, 20, 30	y = -0.0691x + 6.9287	0.92	36.6
7,4'-Dihydroxyflavone	1, 10, 50, 70, 100	y = -0.014x + 6.5132	0.85	150.9
Liquiritigenin	1, 10, 50, 70, 100	y = -0.0111x + 6.2642	0.85	167.9
Naringenin	50, 100, 200, 300	y = -0.0192x + 7.1525	0.99	143.4
Phloretin	1, 10, 50, 70, 100	y = -0.0103x + 7.0159	0.92	254.0
Phlorizin	1, 10, 50, 70, 100	y = -0.0125x + 6.9148	0.95	194.9
Pinocembrin	1, 10, 50, 70, 100	y = -0.0124x + 7.3205	0.80	235.5

stage is indispensable for completion of their life cycle. In this stage, these fungi often secret effectors to interfere with host defences and generate biotrophic infection structures for absorbing host cell nutrients (Gan *et al.*, 2012; O'Connell *et al.*, 2012). In addition, the genome of *C. fructicola* possess a large number of effector candidates and some of them show specifically up-regulated expression during host infection (Liang *et al.*, 2018). Identification of effector candidates functioning at early infection stages will provide insights into the molecular mechanism of *C. fructicola*-apple leaf interaction.

Recognition of phytopathogenic PAMPs or effectors by host receptors would elicit strong host cell defence mechanisms such as the hypersensitive response (HR). The BAX protein, a member of the death-promoting Bcl-2 family, can trigger cell death when expressed in tobacco leaves using a virus vector (Lacomme and Cruz, 1999). The physiological process of induction of PCD in plants by the BAX protein is similar to the defence-related HR (Lacomme and Santa Cruz, 1999). Therefore, it has been regarded as a valuable reference for pathogen effectors (Dou *et al.*, 2008). To date, many bacterial, oomycete, fungal, and nematode effectors have been identified using transient expression assays in the *N. benthamiana* model system employing agroinfiltration (Guo *et al.*, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2011; Ali *et al.*, 2015). In the study, we demonstrated that CfEC92 suppressed BAXtriggered cell death using a tobacco transient expression system, implying a defence-suppressive function of CfEC92.

In the current study, the Colletotrichum-genus specific effector CfEC92 was shown to be important for C. fructicola virulence, and its function in suppressing plant defence reactions was demonstrated. CfEC92 was strongly induced in the early infection stage of the host plant, during progression from formation of appressoria to appearance of biotrophic infection vesicle. The knockout of CfEC92 significantly reduced C. fructicola virulence in apple, indicating that CfEC92 contributed specifically to overcoming apple defences. In addition, secretion and subcellular assays suggested that CfEC92 was secreted from fungal cells and delivered into the host cells to influence the host. Further histological observations revealed that lack of CfEC92 significantly reduced the success rate of appressorium penetration due to the accumulation of papillary callose in host epidermal cells. Papillary callose is produced by callose synthase, and its deposition enhances the host cell's mechanical resistance to fungal appressorial pegs (Suzuki et al., 2003; Chen and Kim, 2009; Zhang et al., 2011). In accordance with the histological results, the callose synthase gene was highly up-regulated in the

host inoculated with a CfEC92 mutant compared to inoculation with the WT. Papillary callose deposition acts as a key factor for successful plant defence against microbial pathogens. Many plant pathogens, including bacteria, oomycete, and fungi, can secrete effectors to inhibit papillary callose formation (Tao et al., 2003; Truman et al., 2006; van den Burg et al., 2006; Fabro et al., 2011). For example, effector PSTha5a23 from Puccinia striiformis f. sp. tritici is involved in suppression of plant papillary callose formation (Cheng et al., 2017). The core effector Cce1 contributes to early stages of infection of maize by Ustilago maydis via interfering with papillary callose deposition (Seitner et al., 2018). Moreover, papillary callose deposition is an output of the PTI response. The transcriptome and RT-qPCR experiments verified that the CfEC92 could suppress the expression of PTI marker genes, including PR1a, WRKY29, and MAPKKK3 (Meng et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019). These findings suggest that CfEC92 is able to promote appressorium penetration through suppression of host PTI-associated response.

Molecular Plant Pathology

Previous studies have reported that effector candidates are stage-specifically expressed in the hemibiotrophic *Colletotrichum* stage switches, implying that the effectors may play an important role in the production of each infection structure of *Colletotrichum* species (Gan *et al.*, 2012; O'Connell *et al.*, 2012). In this study, we found an effector, CfEC92, that was associated with differentiation of primary hyphae from infection vesicles, and CfEC92 could inhibit host PTI response. These results suggest that host PTI-related defence could restrain the formation of *C. fructicola* primary hyphae in apple leaf cells. It was also suggested that the formation of infection structures is the result of interaction between pathogen and host, which is not only related to pathogenesis genes (Dufresne *et al.*, 2000), but also to host resistance.

CfEC92 inhibited a subset of defence-related gene expression. Comparative transcriptome analysis results showed that several defence genes involved in pathogenisis-related (PR) proteins, the MAPK signalling pathway, and flavonoid synthesis were enriched in up-regulated differential gene expression. The production and accumulation of PR proteins in plants are very important to resist phytopathogens (Ebrahim *et al.*, 2011). For example, PR3 can directly degrade chitin, a primary component of the fungal cell wall, to reduce the pathogenicity of an invading pathogen (Sharma *et al.*, 2011). In the study, the up-regulated expressions of MAPKKK3 and WRKY29 were confirmed by RT-qPCR. The two genes, as components of the MAPK cascades, are central to the transmission of biotic

WII FV-

Molecular Plant Pathology 👩

stress signals from membrane receptors into the nucleus, activating the downstream resistance responses to pathogens (Asai et al., 2002; Pitzschke et al., 2009). Flavonoids are associated with plant development and plant defence against pathogen and herbivores (Stafford, 1997; Shirley, 1998; Aoki et al., 2000; Kliebenstein, 2004). For example, sakuranetin is a major phytoalexin in rice, effective against spore germination of Pyricularia oryzae (Kodama et al., 1992). In this study, we found remarkably up-regulated genes involving the biosynthesis of seven flavonoids that appeared to inhibit C. fructicola growth on PDA. Of these, naringenin has been reported to exert antimicrobial effects against Staphylococcus epidermidis, Lactococcus lactis, and Candida krusei, and phlorizin could directly inhibit growth of Botrytis cinerea in vitro (Nishino et al., 1987; Uzel et al., 2005; Mandalari et al., 2007; He et al., 2018); other flavonoids can exhibit pharmacological behaviour, such as anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and anticancer activity (Katavic et al., 2007; Mersereau et al., 2008; Rasul et al., 2013).

In summary, we identified a *Colletotrichum*-specific effector (CfEC92) from *C. fructicola* suppressing BAX-triggered PCD inside host cells. The CfEC92 functioned at an early stage of infection of *C. fructicola* on apple, helping to enable the pathogen to penetrate host cells from appressoria and facilitate differentiation of primary hyphae in apple leaves by suppressing host PTI and a subset of defence genes. However, the molecular mechanisms by which CfEC92 suppresses host defences and benefits the pathogenicity of *C. fructicola* remain unclear. Pathogenic effectors have been reported to target multiple host-plant proteins, leading to suppression of host immunity responses (Kong *et al.*, 2017; Lan *et al.*, 2019). Because CfEC92 functions in host cells, our next work will focus on seeking the possible targets of CfEC92 in apple leaf cells in order to elucidate the molecular basis underlying plant defence suppression.

4 | EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

4.1 | Fungal strains and plants culture conditions

The WT isolate of *C. fructicola*, 1104-7, used in this study was maintained on PDA at 25 °C in the dark. For long-term preservation, the isolate was stored at -80 °C. Knockout mutants of the *CfEC92* gene were maintained on PDA amended with 100 μ g/ml hygromycin, whereas complementation strains were maintained on PDA amended with both hygromycin and G418 (Sangon Biotech). Seedlings of *N. benthamiana* were maintained in a greenhouse at 22–25 °C and 75% humidity with a light-dark cycle of 16/8 hr. For inoculation, fruit and healthy leaves of apple (*Malus domestica* 'Gala') were excised in our research orchard (34°17′59″N, 108°03′39″E) in Yangling, Shaanxi Province, China.

4.2 | RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

To clone and characterize the *CfEC92* expression during infection, the total RNA of conidia, mycelia, appressoria on cellophane, and

inoculated *Malus domestica* 'Gala' leaves (4, 8, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 hpi) were extracted using an RNAprep Pure Plant Kit (TianGen Biotech) following the manufacturer's instructions. First-strand complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized using the One-Step gDNA Removal and cDNA Synthesis SuperMix (TransGen Biotech) following the recommended protocol.

RT-qPCR was performed using an Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System with 2 × RealStar Green Power Mixture (GenStar) according to the manufacturer's operation manuals. Relative gene transcript levels were determined by the method of the comparative threshold cycle (C_t) through StepOne v. 2.02 software installed in the real-time PCR system. Normalized gene expressions were obtained by normalizing expression values to the conidia data using the β -tubulin gene as an endogenous reference. Three independent technical replicates were performed per sample and the entire experiment (from leaf sample preparation to RTqPCR) was performed three times.

4.3 | Plasmid construction

The CfEC92 (accession number: MN604401) open reading frame (ORF) was amplified from cDNA (inoculated leaves) using FastPfu DNA polymerase (TransGen Biotech) and cloned into pEASY-Blunt Zero vector (TransGen Biotech). In order to determine the SP secretion activity, the predicted SP sequence of CfEC92 was fused in-frame into the vector pSUC2 (Jacobs et al., 1997). The SP of Avr1b and the N-terminal 25 amino acids of Mg87 were joined with the vector pSUC2 as positive and negative controls, respectively (Shan et al., 2004; Gu et al., 2011). To determine the subcellular localization in N. benthamiana, the ORF sequence of CfEC92 was cloned into the pBin-eGFP vector. To perform the cell-death inhibition assay in N. benthamiana, the coding sequence of CfEC92 with or without the putative SP was fused with GFP sequence and then cloned into the pGR107 vector (Jones and Baulcombe, 1999). To complement the $\Delta CfEC92$ mutant, the entire CfEC92 sequence containing the coding region and 1.5kb promoter was amplified and cloned into the vector pHZ-100 containing G418 resistance gene. The primers used in this study are listed in Table S1.

4.4 | Domain prediction, sequence alignment, and phylogenetic tree construction

The SP of CfEC92 was predicted using SignalP v. 4.1 (Nielsen, 2017). A search of CfEC92 homologs was performed using a BlastP search on the NCBI nr protein database. ClustalW was used for protein alignments and JalView was used for showing alignment results. An unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA 5 with the neighbour-joining method (TreeBASE accession number 25276).

4.5 | Cell-death inhibition assay

The constructed vector pGR107 carrying CfEC92 (with or without SP) was transformed into A. tumefaciens GV3101. For transient expression in N. benthamiana, recombinant strains of A. tumefaciens were cultured in Luria Bertani (LB) liquid medium containing kanamycin (50 µg/ml) and rifampicin (40 µg/ml) at 28 °C for 48 hr. Cells were resuspended in infiltration medium (10 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM 2-morpholinoethanesulfonic acid monohydrate [pH 5.7], and 150 µM acetosyringone) and adjusted to an OD_{600} = 0.6. Bacteria were infiltrated through a small incision with a needleless 1-ml syringe into the lower leaves of 5-week-old N. benthamiana plants. Each of the recombinant A. tumefaciens strains was inoculated on three leaves on each of at least three plants. A. tumefaciens cells harbouring the BAX gene were infiltrated into the same sites on the leaves 24 hr later. The same leaves were infiltrated with A. tumefaciens carrying an empty vector pGR107 containing GFP as control. Cell death symptoms were observed and photographed at 7 days post-infiltration. All tests were performed in triplicate.

4.6 | Protein extraction and western blot

The total proteins of the leaf infiltrated area were extracted with a protein extraction kit (BB-3124-1, BestBio) in accordance with manufacturer's protocols. Western blotting was carried out to verify protein expression following standard procedures. Thirty microlitres of total protein per sample was loaded on 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel for electrophoresis and then transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Millipore). For detecting effector and BAX proteins, mouse anti-GFP monoclonal antibody (TransGen Biotech) and mouse anti-BAX monoclonal antibody (Beyotime Biotechnology) were used as the primary antibodies, respectively. Goat anti-mouse IgG-peroxidase conjugate secondary antibody (TransGen Biotech) was used to detect the primary antibodies. The membrane was treated with an Easysee western blot kit (TransGen Biotech) for 1 min. Subsequently, the chemoluminescence signal of the PVDF membrane was exposed to BioMax (Kodak) light film and imaged using a ChemiDoc XRS system (Bio-Rad).

4.7 | CfEC92 gene knockout and complementation

The upstream and downstream flanking sequences of *CfEC92* were amplified. The resulting PCR products were fused with the hygromycin phosphotransferase (*hph*) fragments by double-jointed PCR (Figure S1a). The joined upstream and downstream products were then transformed into protoplasts of *C. fructicola*. Transformants resistant to hygromycin were examined by PCR. Further deletion mutants were confirmed by Southern blot hybridization using the DIG DNA Labeling and Detection Kit II (Roche) according to the manufacturer's instructions. To complement the *CfEC92*-deficient strain, the recombinant pHZ-100-*CfEC92* was introduced into protoplasts of $\Delta CfEC92-27$ mutant strain. Complementation strains were identified from G418-resistant transformants using PCR.

4.8 | Plant inoculation

To prepare a conidial suspension, potato dextrose broth (PDB) was inoculated with two 9-mm diameter mycelial PDA plugs and shake cultured for 5 days at 28 °C and 180 rpm on a shaker (DHZ-DA, Taichang Experimental Instrument). The culture medium was then filtered through a layer of Miracloth (Millipore) and centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 3 min. Harvested conidia were washed three times, resuspended, and adjusted to a concentration of 5×10^6 conidia/ml in sterile distilled water (SDW).

Leaves and fruit were sterilized by rubbing with 70% ethanol using cotton swabs, followed by wiping with sterile distilled water. After the water evaporated, the leaves and fruit were sprayed with the conidial suspension, then incubated for 48 hr in humid chambers (IKEA) at 25 °C in the dark.

Lesion diameters were measured after 6 days at 25 °C to compare the pathogenicity differences between WT, $\Delta CfEC92$, and complemented mutant strains. To further assess differences in pathogenicity, we quantified the fungal biomass relative to apple leaf biomass among inoculated leaves at 72 hpi. Next, 50 ng genomic DNA was used to amplify the *C. fructicola* β -tubulin fragment (*Cf* β TUB) and DNA amounts were normalized to the *M. domestica* ubiquitin extension fragment (*MdUBQ*, MDU74358). At 5 dpi, the diameters of the fruit lesions were graded on the following scale: 1: ≤ 1 mm; 2: ≥ 1 mm, ≤ 2 mm; 3: ≥ 2 mm. Disease index (DI) was expressed as \sum (lesion number × associated lesion diameter)/(total lesion numbers × largest lesion diameter).

4.9 | Microscopy

To evaluate the rate of appressoria formation, and infection vesicle and primary hyphae development of mutant and WT strains, five apple leaves inoculated by conidial suspension were sampled at 24, 48, and 60 hpi, respectively. At each time point, 20 leaf disks were obtained by a puncher, fixed for 3 days with ethanol/ trichloromethane (3:1, vol/vol) containing 0.45% (wt/vol) trichloroacetic acid and then cleared in chloral hydrate (250 g/100 ml H_2O) for 3 days. Leaf disks were stained in trypan blue for 10 min (Khan and Hsiang, 2003) and observed with 1,000× magnification under an X-51 microscope (Olympus Corporation). Fifty spores were counted for each leaf disk. Simultaneously, their conidial suspensions were spread on cellophane appressed to the surface of water-agar medium, and amounts of appressoria formation and infection hyphae were assessed at 12 and 36 hpi, respectively. For observation of the papillary callose of the host epidermal cell, inoculated leaves were sampled and treated as described by Arroyo et al. (2005). Semithin sections were cut to 0.5 µm thickness by an ultramicrotome (Ultra cut R; Leica), stained for 1 min with aniline blue, and examined using an X-51 microscope.

4.10 | SP activity assay

II FV-

Constructed recombinant vectors were transformed into the yeast strain YTK12 as described by Gietz *et al.* (1995). All transformants were cultured on CMD-W medium (0.08% tryptophan dropout supplement, 0.65% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 2% sucrose, 0.1% glucose, and 2% agar) to select positive colonies. For testing invertase secretion, the successfully transformed yeast strains were cultured on YPRA agar (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% raffinose, 2 mg/ml antimycin A, and 2% agar).

Molecular Plant Pathology

4.11 | Subcellular localization assay

To determine the subcellular localization of CfEC92, A. *tumefaciens* GV3101 containing the vector pBin-eGFP with *CfEC92* was transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* in an infiltration medium with an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6. GV3101 carrying the empty pBin-eGFP vector was used as control. At 2 days post-infiltration, epidermal tissues of tobacco leaves were sampled and observed with an FV3000 laser scanning confocal microscope (Olympus Corporation) with a 488 nm emission filter.

4.12 | Transcriptome analysis

To perform RNA-Seq analysis, three independent biological samples of apple leaves inoculated with WT or $\Delta CfEC92$ mutant strain were collected for RNA extraction and sequencing. Paired-end sequencing with a read length of 150 bp was performed by an Illumina HiSeq X10. Filtered reads were mapped to the genome of cv. Golden Delicious using TopHat v. 2.0.9 with the parameter "-g 1" (Trapnell *et al.*, 2009). The profile of gene expression levels was analysed using Cufflinks v. 2.2.1 (Trapnell *et al.*, 2010). Fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM) of transcripts that were greater than a two-fold change (p < .05) were considered to be differentially expressed. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis was performed in an Omcishare cloud platform (http://www.omicshare.com). Genes of interest were selected for further confirmation by RT-qPCR according to the procedure described above.

4.13 | Fungal inhibition assays

Flavonoids were dissolved in 250 μ l ethanol and diluted to 12.5 mL with PDA to different final concentrations (Table 1). A 9-mm diameter mycelial plug of *C. fructicola* was transferred to each plate and incubated at 25 °C for 7 days. Two fungal diameters were measured perpendicular to each other; regression equations were used to calculate toxicity and EC₅₀. A PDA plate containing 250 μ l ethanol was used as a control treatment. All experiments were performed four times.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31772113, 31972220) and China Agriculture Research System (CARS-27).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

ORCID

Shengping Shang D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5180-6221 Guangyu Sun D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5353-2711

REFERENCES

- Ali, S., Magne, M., Chen, S., Cote, O., Stare, B.G., Obradovic, N. et al. (2015) Analysis of putative apoplastic effectors from the nematode, *Globodera rostochiensis*, and identification of an expansin-like protein that can induce and suppress host defenses. PLoS ONE, 10, e0115042.
- Aoki, T., Akashi, T. and Ayabe, S. (2000) Flavonoids of leguminous plants: structure, biological activity, and biosynthesis. *Journal of Plant Research*, 113, 475–488.
- Arroyo, F.T., Moreno, J., Garcia-Herdugo, G., De los Santos, B., Barrau, C., Porras, M. et al. (2005) Ultrastructure of the early stages of *Colletotrichum acutatum* infection of strawberry tissues. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 83, 491–500.
- Asai, T., Tena, G., Plotnikova, J., Willmann, M.R., Chiu, W.L., Gomez-Gomez, L. et al. (2002) MAP kinase signalling cascade in Arabidopsis innate immunity. Nature, 415, 977–983.
- Azmi, N.S.A., Singkaravanit-Ogawa, S., Ikeda, K., Kitakura, S., Inoue, Y., Narusaka, Y. et al. (2018) Inappropriate expression of an NLP effector in Colletotrichum orbiculare impairs infection on Cucurbitaceae cultivars via plant recognition of the C-terminal region. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, 31, 101–111.
- Bailey, J.A. and Jeger, M.J. (1992) Colletotrichum: Biology, Pathology and Control. Wallingford: CABI.
- Bhadauria, V., Banniza, S., Vandenberg, A., Selvaraj, G. and Wei, Y.D. (2012) Overexpression of a novel biotrophy-specific *Colletotrichum truncatum* effector CtNUDIX in hemibiotrophic fungal phytopathogens causes incompatibility with their host plants. *Eukaryotic Cell*, 12, 2–11.
- Bozkurt, T.O., Schornack, S., Banfield, M.J. and Kamoun, S. (2012) Oomycetes, effectors, and all that jazz. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 15, 483–492.
- van den Burg, H.A., Harrison, S.J., Joosten, M.H., Vervoort, J. and de Wit, P.J.G.M. (2006) *Cladosporium fulvum* Avr4 protects fungal cell walls against hydrolysis by plant chitinases accumulating during infection. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 19, 1420–1430.
- Chen, X.Y. and Kim, J.Y. (2009) Callose synthesis in higher plants. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 4, 489–492.
- Cheng, Y.L., Wu, K., Yao, J.N., Li, S.M., Wang, X.J., Huang, L.L. et al. (2017) PSTha5a23, a candidate effector from the obligate biotrophic pathogen Puccinia striiformis f. sp. tritici, is involved in plant defense suppression and rust pathogenicity. Environmental Microbiology, 19, 1717–1729.
- Chisholm, S.T., Coaker, G., Day, B. and Staskawicz, B.J. (2006) Host-microbe interactions: shaping the evolution of the plant immune response. *Cell*, 124, 803–814.
- Crouch, J.A., O'Connell, R., Gan, P., Buiate, E., Torres, M.F., Beirn, L. et al. (2014) The genomics of Colletotrichum. In: Dean, R., Lichens-Park, A. and Kole, C. (Eds.) Genomics of Plant-Associated Fungi: Monocot Pathogens. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, pp. 69–102.

- Dangl, J.L., Horvath, D.M. and Staskawicz, B.J. (2013) Pivoting the plant immune system from dissection to deployment. *Science*, 341, 746–751.
- Dou, D. and Zhou, J.M. (2012) Phytopathogen effectors subverting host immunity: different foes, similar battleground. *Cell Host & Microbe*, 12, 484–495.
- Dou, D.L., Kale, S.D., Wang, X.L., Chen, Y.B., Wang, Q.Q., Wang, X. et al. (2008) Conserved C-terminal motifs required for avirulence and suppression of cell death by *Phytophthora sojae* effector Avr1b. *The Plant Cell*, 20, 1118–1133.
- Dufresne, M., Perfect, S., Pellier, A.L., Bailey, J.A. and Langin, T. (2000) A GAL4-like protein is involved in the switch between biotrophic and necrotrophic phases of the infection process of *Colletotrichum lindemuthianum* on common bean. *The Plant Cell*, 12, 1579–1590.
- Ebrahim, S., Usha, K. and Singh, B. (2011) Pathogenesis related (PR) proteins in plant defense mechanism. *Science against Microbial Pathogens*, 2, 1043–1054.
- Fabro, G., Steinbrenner, J., Coates, M., Ishaque, N., Baxter, L., Studholme, D.J. et al. (2011) Multiple candidate effectors from the oomycete pathogen Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis suppress host plant Immunity. PLoS Pathogens, 7, e1002348.
- Falcone Ferreyra, M.L., Rius, S.P. and Casati, P. (2012) Flavonoids: biosynthesis, biological functions, and biotechnological applications. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 28, 222.
- Gan, P., Ikeda, K., Irieda, H., Narusaka, M., O'Connell, R.J., Narusaka, Y. et al. (2012) Comparative genomic and transcriptomic analyses reveal the hemibiotrophic stage shift of *Colletotrichum* fungi. New Phytologist, 97, 1236–1249.
- Gietz, R.D., Schiestl, R.H., Willems, A.R. and Woods, R.A. (1995) Studies on the transformation of intact yeast cells by the LiAc/SS-DNA/PEG procedure. Yeast, 11, 355–360.
- González, E. and Sutton, T.B. (1999) First report of glomerella leaf spot (*Glomerella cingulata*) of apple in the United States. *Plant Disease*, 83, 1074.
- Gu, B., Kale, S.D., Wang, Q., Wang, D., Pan, Q., Cao, H. et al. (2011) Rust secreted protein Ps87 is conserved in diverse fungal pathogens and contains a RXLR-like motif sufficient for translocation into plant cells. PLoS ONE, 6, e27217.
- Guo, M., Tian, F., Wamboldt, Y. and Alfano, J.R. (2009) The majority of the type III effector inventory of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 can suppress plant immunity. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 22, 1069–1080.
- He, J.H., Ma, L.J. and Zhou, H.L. (2018) Effect of phlorizin treatment on postharvest gray mold of apples. *Food Science*, 39, 190–196.
- Hoge, B.L. (2017) Characterization of the Genetic Diversity and Developmental Biology of the Colletotrichum gloeosporioides Species Complex in NC Apple Orchards. Raleigh, USA: North Carolina State University, MSc thesis.
- Irieda, H., Maeda, H., Akiyama, K., Hagiwara, A., Saitoh, H., Uemura, A. et al. (2014) Colletotrichum orbiculare secretes virulence effectors to a biotrophic interface at the primary hyphal neck via exocytosis coupled with SEC22-mediated traffic. The Plant Cell, 26, 2265–2281.
- Jacobs, K.A., Collins-Racie, L.A., Colbert, M., Duckett, M.K., Golden-Fleet, M., Kelleher, K. et al. (1997) A genetic selection for isolating cDNAs encoding secreted proteins. *Gene*, 198, 289–296.
- Jones, D.A. and Takemoto, D. (2004) Plant innate immunity-direct and indirect recognition of general and specific pathogen-associated molecules. *Current Opinion in Immunology*, 16, 48–62.
- Jones, J.D. and Dangl, J.L. (2006) The plant immune system. *Nature*, 444, 323–329.
- Jones, L. and Baulcombe, D.C. (1999) RNA-DNA interactions and DNA methylation in post-transcriptional gene silencing. *The Plant Cell*, 11, 2291–2301.
- Katavic, P.L., Lamb, K., Navarro, H. and Prisinzano, T.E. (2007) Flavonoids as opioid receptor ligands: identification and preliminary

structure-activity relationships. *Journal of Natural Products*, 70, 1278-1282.

- Khan, A. and Hsiang, T. (2003) The infection process of *Colletotrichum* graminicola and relative aggressiveness on four turfgrass species. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 49, 433–442.
- Kleemann, J., Rincon-Rivera, L.J., Takahara, H., Neumann, U., van Themaat, E.V.L., Hacquard, S. et al. (2012) Sequential delivery of host-induced virulence effectors by appressoria and intracellular hyphae of the phytopathogen Colletotrichum higginianum. PLoS Pathogens, 8, e1002643.
- Kliebenstein, D.J. (2004) Secondary metabolites and plant/environment interactions: a view through Arabidopsis thaliana tinged glasses. Plant Cell & Environment, 27, 675–684.
- Kodama, O., Miyakawa, J., Akatsuka, T. and Kiyosawa, S. (1992) Sakuranetin, a flavanone phytoalexin from ultraviolet-irradiated rice leaves. *Phytochemistry*, 31, 3807–3809.
- Kong, L., Qiu, X.F., Kang, J.G., Wang, Y., Chen, H., Huang, J. et al. (2017) A Phytophthora effector manipulates host histone acetylation and reprograms defense gene expression to promote infection. Current Biology, 27, 981–991.
- Lacomme, C. and Santa Cruz, S. (1999) Bax-induced cell death in tobacco is similar to the hypersensitive response. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 96, 7956–7961.
- Lan, X., Liu, Y.X., Song, S.R., Yin, L., Xiang, J., Qu, J.J. et al. (2019) Plasmopara viticola effector PvRXLR131 suppresses plant immunity by targeting plant receptor-like kinase inhibitor BKI1. Molecular Plant Pathology, 20, 765–783.
- Leite, R.P., Tsuneta, M. and Kishino, A.Y. (1988) Ocorrência de mancha foliar de Glomerella em macieira no Estado do Paraná. IAPAR. Informe da Pesquisa. Curitiba: IAPAR, p. 81.
- Liang, X.F., Shang, S.P., Dong, Q.Y., Wang, B., Zhang, R., Gleason, M.L. et al. (2018) Transcriptomic analysis reveals candidate genes regulating development and host interactions of Colletotrichum fructicola. BMC Genomics, 19, 557.
- Mandalari, G., Bennett, R.N., Bisignano, G., Trombetta, D., Saija, A., Faulds, C.B. et al. (2007) Antimicrobial activity of flavonoids extracted from bergamot (*Citrus bergamia* Risso) peel, a byproduct of the essential oil industry. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 103, 2056–2064.
- Meng, Q., Gupta, R., Min, C.W., Kim, J., Kramer, K., Wang, Y. et al. (2018) A proteomic insight into the msp1 and flg22 induced signaling in Oryza sativa leaves. Journal of Proteomics, 196, 120–130.
- Mersereau, J., Levy, N., Staub, R.E., Baggett, S., Zogric, T., Chow, S. *et al.* (2008) Liquiritigenin is a plant-derived highly selective estrogen receptor β agonist. *Molecular & Cellular Endocrinology*, 283, 49–57.
- Nielsen, H. (2017) Predicting secretory proteins with SignalP. Methods in Molecular Biology, 1611, 59–73.
- Nishino, C., Enoki, N., Tawata, S., Mori, A., Kobayashi, K. and Fukushima, M. (1987) Antibacterial activity of flavonoids against *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, a skin bacterium. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, 51, 139–143.
- O'Connell, R.J., Thon, M.R., Hacquard, S., Amyotte, S.G., Kleemann, J., Torres, M.F. *et al.* (2012) Lifestyle transitions in plant pathogenic *Colletotrichum* fungi deciphered by genome and transcriptome analyses. *Nature Genetics*, 44, 1060–1065.
- Perfect, S.E., Hughes, H.B., O'Connell, R.J. and Green, J.R. (1999) Collectorichum: a model genus for studies on pathology and fungalplant interactions. Fungal Genetics and Biology, 27, 186-198.
- Pitzschke, A., Schikora, A. and Hirt, H. (2009) MAPK cascade signalling networks in plant defence. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 12, 421-426.
- Prusky, D., Freeman, S. and Dickman, M.B. (2000) Collectotrichum: Host Specificity, Pathology, and Host-Pathogen Interaction. St Paul, MN: American Phytopathological Society Press.
- Rafiqi, M., Ellis, J.G., Ludowici, V.A., Hardham, A.R. and Dodds, P.N. (2012) Challenges and progress towards understanding the role

950

of effectors in plant-fungal interactions. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 15, 477-482.

- Rasul, A., Millimouno, F.M., Eltayb, W.A., Ali, M., Li, J. and Li, X.M. (2013) Pinocembrin: a novel natural compound with versatile pharmacological and biological activities. *Biomedical Research International*, 379850.
- Sanz-Martin, J.M., Pacheco-Arjona, J.R., Bello-Rico, V., Vargas, W.A., Monod, M., Diaz-Minguez, J.M. *et al.* (2016) A highly conserved metalloprotease effector enhances virulence in the maize anthracnose fungus Colletotrichum graminicola. Molecular Plant Pathology, 17, 1048–1062.
- Seitner, D., Uhse, S., Gallei, M. and Djamei, A. (2018) The core effector cce1 is required for early infection of maize by Ustilago maydis. Molecular Plant Pathology, 19, 2277–2287.
- Shan, W.X., Cao, M., Dan, L.U. and Tyler, B.M. (2004) The Avr1b locus of Phytophthora sojae encodes an elicitor and a regulator required for avirulence on soybean plants carrying resistance gene Rps1b. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, 17, 394–403.
- Sharma, N., Sharma, K.P., Gaur, R.K. and Gupta, V.K. (2011) Role of chitinase in plant defense. *Asian Journal of Biochemistry*, 6, 29–37.
- Shirley, B.W. (1998) Flavonoids in seeds and grains: physiological function, agronomic importance and the genetics of biosynthesis. Seed Science Research, 8, 415–422.
- Stafford, H.A. (1997) Roles of flavonoids in symbiotic and defense functions in legume roots. *Botanical Reviews*, 63, 27–39.
- Sun, T.J., Nitta, Y., Zhang, Q., Wu, D., Tian, H.N., Lee, J.S. et al. (2018) Antagonistic interactions between two MAP kinase cascades in plant development and immune signaling. EMBO Reports, 19, e45324.
- Suzuki, T., Shinogi, T., Narusaka, Y. and Park, P. (2003) Infection behavior of Alternaria alternata Japanese pear pathotype and localization of 1,3-β-D-glucan in compatible and incompatible interactions between the pathogen and host plants. Journal of General Plant Pathology, 69, 91–100.
- Tao, Y., Xie, Z., Chen, W., Glazebrook, J., Chang, H.S., Han, B. et al. (2003) Quantitative nature of Arabidopsis responses during compatible and incompatible interactions with the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae. The Plant Cell, 15, 317–330.
- Trapnell, C., Pachter, L. and Salzberg, S.L. (2009) TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics*, 25, 1105–1111.
- Trapnell, C., Williams, B.A., Pertea, G., Mortazavi, A., Kwan, G., van Baren, M.J. *et al.* (2010) Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. *Nature Biotechnology*, 28, 511–515.
- Truman, W., Zabala, M.T. and Grant, M. (2006) Type III effectors orchestrate a complex interplay between transcriptional networks to modify basal defence responses during pathogenesis and resistance. *The Plant Journal*, 46, 14–33.
- Uzel, A., Sorkun, K., Oncag, O., Cogulu, D., Gencay, O. and Salih, B. (2005) Chemical compositions and antimicrobial activities of four different Anatolian propolis samples. Microbiology Research, 160, 189–195.

- Velho, A.C., Stadnik, M.J., Casanova, L., Mondino, P. and Alaniz, S. (2014) First report of *Colletotrichum karstii* causing glomerella leaf spot on apple in Santa Catarina State, Brazil. *Plant Disease*, 98, 157.
- Velho, A.C., Stadnik, M.J. and Wallhead, M. (2019) Unraveling Collectorichum species associated with glomerella leaf spot of apple. Tropical Plant Pathology, 44, 197–204.
- Wallhead, M., Broders, G., Beaudoin, E., Peralta, C. and Broders, K. (2014) Phylogenetic assessment of *Colletotrichum* species associated with bitter rot and glomerella leaf spot in the northeastern US. *Phytopathology*, 104, 123–124.
- Wang, Q., Han, C., Ferreira, A.O., Yu, X., Ye, W., Tripathy, S. et al. (2011) Transcriptional programming and functional interactions within the *Phytophthora sojae* RXLR effector repertoire. *The Plant Cell*, 23, 2064–2086.
- Wang, C.X., Zhang, Z.F., Li, B.H., Wang, H.Y. and Dong, X.L. (2012) First report of glomerella leaf spot of apple caused by *Glomerella cingulata* in China. *Plant Disease*, 96, 912–913.
- Wang, B., Li, B.H., Dong, X.L., Wang, C.X. and Zhang, Z.F. (2015a) Effects of temperature, wetness duration, and moisture on the conidial germination, infection, and disease incubation period of *Glomerella cin*gulata. Plant Disease, 99, 249–256.
- Wang, W., Fu, D.D., Zhang, R. and Sun, G.Y. (2015b) Aetiology of apple leaf spot caused by *Collectrichum* spp. *Mycosystema*, 34, 13–25.
- Wang, Y. and Wang, Y. (2018) Trick or treat: microbial pathogens evolved apoplastic effectors modulating plant susceptibility to infection. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 31, 6–12.
- Yang, S., Dai, Y., Chen, Y., Yang, J., Yang, D., Liu, Q. et al. (2019) A novel G16B09-like effector from *Heterodera avenae* suppresses plant defenses and promotes parasitism. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10, 66.
- Yokosawa, S., Eguchi, N., Kondo, K.I. and Sato, T. (2017) Phylogenetic relationship and fungicide sensitivity of members of the Collectotrichum gloeosporioides species complex from apple. Journal of General Plant Pathology, 83, 291–298.
- Zhang, H.C., Wang, C.F., Cheng, Y.L., Wang, X.J., Li, F., Han, Q.M. et al. (2011) Histological and molecular studies of the non-host interaction between wheat and Uromyces fabae. Planta, 234, 979–991.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Shang S, Wang B, Zhang S, et al. A novel effector CfEC92 of *Colletotrichum fructicola* contributes to glomerella leaf spot virulence by suppressing plant defences at the early infection phase. *Molecular Plant Pathology*. 2020;21:936–950. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u> mpp.12940